FILED
FEB 13 1995

(2)

OCTOBER TERM

NO. 94-1319

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROBERT A. LESLIE, Petitioner

v.

DON EDWIN RANEY, Respondent

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT FOR THE CALIFORNIA STATE SUPREME COURT

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

ROBERT A. LESLIE
Consul for petitioner
In Propria Persona
243 Berkeley Avenue
Irvine, Calif. 92715.
714 8542246

---

7 pp

CLERK'S TRANSCRIPT, PAGES 8,9, 10 ORANGE COUNTY COURT OF APPEAL, OPPOSITION TO PARTITION: QUIET TITLE: EJECTMENT: AND DAMAGES, CASE NO. 605416 filed NOV. 20, 1989 FRANK DARMIENTO AND WILLIAM LITTLE V LYNETTE LESLIE AND ROBERT LESLIE: (which the Court of Appeal stated was not in the appellate record, PETITION P 32B.) Come now defendants Robert and Lynette Leslie now named in answer to the above causes of action allege: 1. Defendants agree to the statements contained in paragraphs one and two of the first cause of action.

2. Defendants deny the statement contained in paragraph 3 of the first cause of action on the grounds the sale was unlawful and void because the trust deed was forged, defendant did not sign the trust deed, proper notice was not given of the sale which

was held in spite of a restraining order issued by the honorable Michael Knott of the Long Beach Superior Court, in violation of chapter 943 of the Statutes of 1986 section 1(1), the deed of trust, which is forged, was not properly recorded, because the beneficiary notarized his own deed of trust in violation of Section 8214 of the Government Code. (Lee v. Murphy 119 Cal 365.), and the property was subsequently homesteaded, the deed of trust is invalid because it was not signed by both owners of the property, and our home is community property, CC 5127.

2. Defendants stipulate to statement 2 of the first cause of action.

3. Defendants deny statements 3, 4, 5, of the first cause of action

for the reasons mentioned in paragraph 2.

4. For the reasons mentioned in paragraph 2, defendants deny statements 7 and 8 in the first cause of action.

IN THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

1. for the reasons mentioned in paragraph 2, defendants deny the allegations mentioned in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, of their first cause of action.

2. Defendants deny the allegation of paragraph 10 for the reasons mentioned in paragraph 2, and paragraphs 11, 12 and 13.

IN THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Defendants deny the allegations mentioned in paragraphs 3, 4 and 10, 12, and 13 for the reasons given in paragraph 2.

2. Defendants dispute the plaintiff's one half interest in the property for the reasons mentioned in

paragraph 2, therefore the allegations of paragraph 15 and 16 are incorrect.

As a second defense to this action defendants allege this sale was held without notice and contrary to the promise given to judge Michael Nott, in the Long Beach Superior Court on September 1, 1989, and if proper notice had been given, defendant would have obtained an additional restraining order or redeemed the property. The property sold for less than 20 percent of its fair marked value. The defendants have a standing offer to purchase the property for $350,000 from Caldwell Banker Realty company. WHEREFORE, defendants pray judgment as follows: That the court make nothing of plaintiff's complaint. 2. For costs of the suit herein incurred. 3. To cancel the sale of the property. 4.

For such other and further relief as the court deems just. 5. For treble damages under section 749 CCP. Robert A. Leslie, In Pro per.

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING ARBITRATION HEARING LOS ANGELES COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION 11/12/91. In this hearing Don Edwin Raney Perjured himself that his charges were $250 per hour, when billings were at $100 per hour.

ACCOUNTING

State v Dr. ROBERT LESLIE 114.65 hours at $250. $28,662.50 fees paid $13,313.00

Arrearage from other cases, $10,195.00 charged. Credit $3118.00. Sub total $25,544.50.

Notes. $40,617.37 credit $15,071.87 allocated to appeal and writ of mandate.

LETTER FROM DON EDWIN RANEY TO Dr. ROBERT LESLIE DATED NOVEMBER 22, 1991.

Dear Dr. Leslie, In reviewing the accounting prepared by my secretary, I find that she erroneously charged you on the basis of our present trial charges of $250 per hour. I wish to correct
this error and will review my records which are in storage and prepare a new and correct accounting. (The erroneous accounting was admitted in evidence.)

You can be of assistance in this regard if you will forward copies of my billings in the criminal case in order to compare them with the ones in my file.

Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation, Very truly yours,
Don Edwin Raney.